

# LGU Scorecard on Health - DCF For Component Cities and Municipalities

LGU Name: ( SANTIAGO ) Province Name: ( Agusan del Norte )

Region: ( CARAGA ) Profile Year: 2012

Population data to be used: 2010 Census Data Projected to 2012

The LGU Scorecard on Health is an annual survey being conducted by the Department of Health for purpose of identifying reform interventions on health services provided by the LGUs. The indicators are stratified based on the strategic thrusts and strategic instruments of Universal Health Care (Kalusugang Pangkalahatan).

## Instructions:

- Please fill-up the form by putting the required data for numerator, denominator and computed value.
- Encircle "Not Applicable" if the indicator is not applicable to the component city or municipality, or "No Data" if applicable but data is not available.
- Refer to the given formula per indicator to derive the computed value.
- Fill-up the remarks portion of each indicator when the computed value exceeds the acceptable value.
- Only the computed value will be inputted on the online DCF, however, numerator and denominator data still needs to be supplied in this manual DCF to facilitate data review.

## I. Financial Risk Protection

### Governance for Health

#### A. Increase LGU Investment for Health

##### 1) Percentage of Component City/Municipal Budget Allocated to Health

<p>A. Total component city/ municipal budget allocated to health, nutrition &amp; environment</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">3,031,002.98</div>	x 100 =	5.2 %	No Data
<p>B. Total component city/municipal budget →</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">58,000,000.00</div>			

Note:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Component city/municipal budget - PS, MOOE, and CO
- Target 2016: 15%
- Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 50%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

2) Percentage of MOOE Allocated to Health

A. Total MOOE in component city/  
municipal health budget →

₱212,706.90

B. Component city/municipal budget  
allocated to health services →

₱7,119,723.60

$\times 100 =$

4.70%

No  
Data

Note:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- MOOE for health from the regular funds (IRA + locally-generated sources)
- Target 2016: 45%
- Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 80%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

3) Percentage of Component City/Municipal Health Expenditures

A. Total component city/municipal  
expenditures on health, nutrition  
& environment →

2,851,707.62

B. Total component city/municipal  
budget allocated to health,  
nutrition & environment →

2,851,707.62

$\times 100 =$

1.00%

No  
Data

Note:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Target 2016: 100%
- Acceptable computed value: between 75% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

Am

## II. Improved Access to Quality Hospitals and Health Care Facilities

### Human Resources for Health

#### Adequate Human Resource for Health

##### 4) Rural Health Physician to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	21,618		1 Rural Health Physician		
B. No. of rural health physician →	1	=	: 21,618 Population	No Data	No Rural Health Physician

Note:

- Formula: A/B
- Target 2016: 1 rural health physician: 20,000 population
- Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health physician: 1,000 population and 1 rural health physician: 75,000 population

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

##### 5) Rural Health Midwife to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	21,618		1 Rural Health Midwife : 4,324 Population		
B. No. of rural health midwife →	5	=		No Data	No Rural Health Midwife

Note:

- Formula: A/B
- Target 2016: 1 rural health midwife : 5,000 population
- Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health midwife: 500 population and 1 rural health midwife: 75,000 population

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

##### 6) Rural Health Nurse to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	21,618		1 Rural Health Nurse : 21,618 Population		
B. No. of rural health nurse →	5	=		No Data	No Rural Health Nurse

*gma*



Note:

- Formula: A/B
- Target 2016: 1 rural health nurse : 20,000 population
- Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health nurse: 1,000 population and 1 rural health nurse: 75,000 population

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

### III. Attainment of Health-Related MDGs

#### Policy, Standards and Regulations

#### A. Disease Free Zone Initiatives

#### 7) Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for Filariasis

A. No. of persons given mass drug administration →	<div>13,020</div>	x 100 =	<div>72 %</div>	<div>No Data</div>	<div>Not Applicable (Non-endemic)</div>
B. Total population (2 years old & above) in *endemic area →	<div>18,135</div>				

Notes:

- Formula: A/B x 100
- Endemic area\* is a province with a prevalence of 1% or higher. All municipalities or component cities under the endemic province shall report mass treatment.
- Reference: FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016: 85%
- Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 8.) Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for Schistosomiasis

A. No. of persons given mass treatment →	<div>11077</div>	x 100 =	<div>62 %</div>	<div>No Data</div>	<div>Not Applicable (Non-endemic)</div>
B. Total population (5 years old and above) in *endemic area →	<div>11735</div>				

*22/11*

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Endemic area is a barangay, component city or municipality with a prevalence of 10% or higher.
- Reference: FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016: 65%
- Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

9.) Annual Parasite Incidence for Malaria

A. No. of Malaria cases in the population →	<div>2</div>	x 1000 =	<div>0.16</div> Per 1000 population	<div>No Data</div>	<div>Not Applicable (Non-endemic)</div>
B. Total population in *endemic area →	<div>12,116</div>				

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 1000$
- Endemic area may be a barangay, municipality or component city. Thus, the population of the implicated barangay, municipality or component city should be used as denominator.
- Reference: FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016:  $< 0.8/1000$
- Acceptable computed value: between 0 and 20 per 1,000 population

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

B. Intensified Disease Prevention and Control

10.) TB Case Detection Rate (All Forms of TB\*)

A. No. of all forms of TB cases identified →	<div>33</div>	x 100 =	<div>56%</div>	<div>No Data</div>
B. Estimated no. of all forms of TB cases for the year* →	<div>59</div>			

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- "All Forms of TB" refers to new smear positive, new smear negative, relapse, and extra pulmonary TB

*[Signature]*

- To compute for "Estimated number of all forms of TB cases for the year": Total population x 0.00275
- Reference: Quarterly Reports; NTP Registry
- NOH Target 2016: 85%
- Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 11.) TB Cure Rate

A. No. of new smear-positive pulmonary TB cases registered in a specified period that were cured →	10	x 100 =	100 %	No Data
B. Total no. of new smear-positive pulmonary TB cases registered in the same period →	10			

Notes:

- Formula: A/B x 100
- Reference: Quarterly Reports; NTP Registry
- NOH Target 2016: 90%
- Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

#### C. Child Health

##### 12) Percentage of Fully Immunized Child

A. No. of infants given BCG, OPV3, DPT3/Penta 3, Hep B3 and Measles vaccine before 12 months of age →	536	x 100 =	91.8%	No Data
B. Total population x 2.7% →	584			

Notes:

- Formula: A/B x 100
- NOH Target 2016: 95%
- Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

*Am*

### 13) Percentage of Infants (0 - 6 months old) Exclusively Breastfed

A. No. of infants (0 - 6 months old) exclusively breastfed →

406

B. Total population x 2.7% →

584

x 100 =

69.5%

No  
Data

#### Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- NOH Target 2016: 54.75%
- Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

### D. Maternal Health

#### 14) Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries\*

Number of mothers giving birth at:

A1. RHU and BH5 →

241

A2. District/Municipal/  
Component City Hospital  
(provincial, municipal or city-  
managed) →

46

B1. Home →

136

B2. Other places\* →

1

#### Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries

A. Total number of facility-based deliveries (A1+A2) →

287

B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LCU (A1+A2+B1+B2) →

411

x 100 =

69.8%

No  
Data

#### Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- **Must be in a health facility with birthing capacity\***
- "Other places" is defined as non-health facility places like vehicles (tricycle, taxi, etc.) and outside of the dwelling place

*[Signature]*



- Reference: Local civil registry as reported in FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016: 90 %
- Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

15) Percentage of Deliveries Attended by Skilled Health Professionals\*

A. Total number of deliveries attended by skilled health professionals →	303	x 100 =	73.3 %	No Data
B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LGU (same as indicator # 14 denominator) →	413			

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- \*Skilled health professionals - are medical doctors, nurses and midwives
- Reference: FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016: 90 %
- Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

16) Contraceptive Prevalence Rate for Modern Family Planning Methods\*

A. No. of women of reproductive age who are using (or whose partner is using) modern family planning method →	1,079	x 100 =	40.50%	No Data
B. No. of women of reproductive age (12.3% of total population) →	2,664			

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Modern family planning methods\*: pills, IUD, condom, injectables, NFP(cervical mucus, basal body temperature, symptothermal, standard days method/cycle beads, lactation amenorrhea method) , bilateral tubal ligation, and vasectomy.
- NOH Target 2016: 65%
- Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_



17) Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams

A. No. of functional Community Health Teams in the LGU\* →

19

B. No. of targeted Community Health Teams in CCT NHTS - PR\* areas in the LGU →

19

x 100 =

100 %

No  
Data

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- \*Please refer to the attached Consolidation Template (see page 12)
- Conditional Cash Transfer National Household Targeting System for Poverty Reduction (CCT NHTS - PR)
- NOH Target 2016: 100%
- Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

E. Environmental Health

18) Percentage of Households with Access to Safe Water

A. Total no. of households with access to safe water (Level I, II, III) →

3,396

B. Total no. of households →

3,821

x 100 =

89.3 %

No  
Data

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Reference: FHSIS
- NOH Target 2016: 88%
- Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

19) Percentage of Households with Sanitary Toilet Facilities

A. Total no. of households with  
sanitary toilet facilities →

2,845

x 100 =

74.8 %

No  
Data

B. Total no. of households →

3,801

Notes:

- Formula:  $A/B \times 100$
- Reference: FHSIS
- NCH Target 2016: 90%
- Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100%

Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

# Certification Page

(for Component City & Municipality)


## Part I. On Accomplishing the DCF (for City/Municipal Health Officers & Financial Officers)

LGU Name: SANTIAGO Date Accomplished: 3/15/13

This is to certify that the data provided in the LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form are final and correct to the best of our knowledge. We understand that the data we provided in the LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form will be the basis in producing the LGU Report Card on Health.

SIGNED:

Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Indicate your office & position opposite your name.

Name	Office & Position
 Carme Gracia Y. Jantilla, R.N.	MHD / Nurse-II

## Part II. On Review of DCF (For the PHO and CHD-PHTL)

This is to certify that the undersigned have reviewed the data provided in this LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form.

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNED:

Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Indicate your office & position opposite your name.

Name	Office & Position
 ELIZABETH N. CAMPADO, MD, MPH	PHO, PHO II

**Consolidation Template for Indicator No.17**  
**Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams**

LGU Name: (_____)	Province Name: (_____)
Region: (_____)	Profile Year: 2012

**Instructions:**

- Put a (✓) check mark across each criteria on the functionality of a Community Health Team (CHT) that is met by each CHT in the LGU.
- Count the total number of functional CHTs (those which have met all the criteria listed below) and supply it as numerator of Indicator No. 17 "Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams".

Criteria of a Functional Community Health Team	CHT 1 (example)	CHT 2 (example)	CHT 3 (example)	CHT 4 (example)	CHT 5 (example)	...
1. Organized and trained	✓	✓	✓	✓		
2. Deployed in CCT-NHTS areas in the LGU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3. Visited the CCT-NHTS families at least twice in the reporting year	✓		✓	✓	✓	
4. Submitted the following reports:						
4.A Health Risk Assessment Report	✓		✓	✓	✓	
4.B Health Use Plan	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4.C Report of a follow-up of identified pregnant women (at least 2 follow-ups)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4.D Birth Plan and referral to health facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<b>No. of Fully-functional CHTs</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total No. of Functional CHTs</b>	<b>3</b>					

*[Signature]*