LGU Scorecard on Health - DCF For Component Cities and Municipalities
IGII Name: (Kitcharao) Province Name: (Agusan dul Norte)
Region: (CARAGA) Profile Year: 2012
Population data to be used: 2010 Census Data Projected to 2012
The LGU Scorecard on Health is an annual survey being conducted by the Department of
Health for purpose of identifying reform interventions on health services provided by the
LGUs. The indicators are stratified based on the strategic thrusts and strategic instruments
of Universal Health Care (Kalusugang Pangkalahatan).
Instructions:
 Please fill-up the form by putting the required data for numerator, denominator a
computed value.
Encircle "Not Applicable" if the indicator is not applicable to the component city
municipality, or "No Data" if applicable but data is not available.
 Refer to the given formula per indicator to derive the computed value.
 Fill-up the remarks portion of each indicator when the computed value exceeds to
acceptable value.
 Only the computed value will be inputted on the online DCF, however, numerator a
denominator data still needs to be supplied in this manual DCF to facilitate de
review
I. Financial Risk Protection
Governance for Health
A. Increase LGU investment for Health
1) Percentage of Component City/Municipal Budget Allocated to Health
A. Total component city/
municipal budget allocated to 3748,500,50
health, nutrition & environment x 100 = 7 11 %
B. Total component city/municipal
budget → 51,720,000.00
Δ

DCF for Component Cities and Municipalities - Page 1 of 12

PM

	Note: • Formula: A/B x 100 • Component city/municipal budget - PS, MOOE, and CO • Target 2016: 15% • Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 50% Remarks:
2)	Percentage of MOOE Allocated to Health
	A. Total MOOE in component city/ municipal health budget → B. Component city/municipal budget allocated to health services → 340,000-10
	Note: • Formula: A/B x 100 • MOOE for health from the regular funds (IRA + locally-generated sources) • Target 2016: 45% • Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 80%
	Remarks:
3)	Percentage of Component City/Municipal Health Expenditures A. Total component city/municipal expenditures on health, nutrition a environment →
	B. Total component city/municipal budget allocated to health, nutrition & environment → No Data
	Note: • Formula: A/B x 100 • Target 2016: 100% = Acceptable computed value: between 75% and 100% Remarks:

II. Improved Access to Quality Hospitals and Health Care Facilities
Human Resources for Health
Adequate Human Resource for Health
4) Rural Health Physician to Population Ratio
A. Total population → Vision B. No. of rural health physician physician → 1 Rural Health Physician Population Data Physician Physician
Note: • Formula: A/B • Target 2016: 1 rural health physician:20,000 population • Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health physician: 1,000 population and 1 rural health physician: 75,000 population
Remarks:
5) Rural Health Midwife to Population Ratio 2, 946 (€ A. Total population → 10,447 IRural Health B. No. of rural health midwife → Population Data Health Midwife Population Population Midwife No Rural Health Midwife No Rural Health Midwife Midwife No Rural Health Midwife No Rural Health Midwife Midwife No Rural Health Midwife No Rural Health Midwife Midwife Midwife Midwife No Rural Health Midwife M
Note: • Formula: A/B • Target 2016: 1 rural health midwife: 5,000 population • Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health midwife: 500 population and 1 rural health midwife: 75,000 population
Remarks:
6) Rural Health Nurse to Population Ratio
A. Total population \Rightarrow 1 Rural Health Nurse No Rural Health Nurse No Rural Health Nurse No Rural Health Nurse

No.
Note:
• Formula: A/B Toward 2016 - A wared health purse : 20,000 population
 Target 2016: 1 rural health nurse: 20,000 population Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health nurse: 1,000
population and 1 rural health nurse: 75,000 population
роришион ина ттаки веши напостојача роришион
Remarks:
III. Attainment of Health-Related MDGs
Policy, Standards and Regulations
A. Disease Free Zone Initiatives
The state of the s
 Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for Filariasis
A. No. of persons given
mass drug Not
administration → x 100 = % % % Data (Non-
B. Total population (2
years old £ above) in
"endemic area →
Notes:
Formula: A/B x 100
 Endemic area* is a province with a prevalence of 1% or higher. All municipalities
or component cities under the endemic province shall report mass treatment.
• Reference: FHSIS
• NOH Target 2016: 85%
 Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%
Remarks:
8.) Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for
Schistosomiasis
A. No. of persons given
No Applicable
B. Lotal population (5 7 Data (Non-
years old and above) endemic)
in *endemic area →

	Notes:
	• Formula: A/B x 100
	 Endemic area is a barangay, component city or municipality with a prevalence of
	10% or higher.
	• Reference: FHSIS
	• NOH Target 2016: 85%
	 Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%
	Remarks:
	9.) Annual Parasite Incidence for Malaria
	A. No. of Malaria cases in
	the population → No Applicable
	x 1000 =
	B. Total population in Per 1000 Data Non-
	fendemic area \rightarrow
	Notes:
	9 Formula: A/B × 1000
	 Endemic area may be a barangay, municipality or component city. Thus, the
	population of the implicated barangay, municipality or component city should
	be used as denominator.
	• Reference: FHSIS
	• NOH Target 2016: < 0.8/1000
	• Acceptable computed value: between 0 and 20 per 1,000 population
	• Acceptable computed value: between 0 and 20 per 1,000 population
	Remarks:
В.	Intensified Disease Prevention and Control
ο.	intensified disease Prevention and Condition
	10.) TB Case Detection Rate (All Forms of TB*)
	A. No. of all forms of TB
	cases identified →
	V 100 - NO
	B. Estimated no. of all x 100 = % Data
	forms of TB cases for
	the year* →
	Notes:
	• Formula: A/B x 100
	• "Al Forms of TB" refers to new smear positive, new smear negative, relapse, and
	extra pulmonary TB
	extra parinonary ra

- Full Farmer of TO come for the year." Total
 To compute for "Estimated number of all forms of TB cases for the year": Total
population x 0.00275
 Reference: Quarterly Reports: NTP Registry
• NOH Target 2016: 85%
 Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%
Remarks:
11.)TB Cure Rate
A. No. of new smear-positive
pulmonary TB cases registered
in a specified period that were
cured →
B. Total no. of new smear-
positive parmenary 12 cases
registered in the same period->
Notes:
• Formula: A/B x 100
 Reference: Quarterly Reports; NTP Registry
• NOH Target 2016: 90%
 Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%
Remarks:
C. Child Health
12) Percentage of Fully Immunized Child
A. No. of infants given BCG,
OPV3, DPT3/Penta 3, Hep B3 and Measles vaccine
before 12 months of age >
B. Total population x 2.7% →
Notes:
• Formula: A/B x 100
• NOH Target 2016: 95%
 Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%
Remarks:

	13) Percentage of Infants (0 - 6 months old) Exclusively Breastfed
	A. No. of infants (0 - 6 months old) exclusively breastfed →
	B. Total population x 2.7% → x 100 = 4 2 1 100 Data
	Notes: • Formula: A/B x 100 • NOH Target 2016: 54.75% • Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100% Remarks:
D,	Maternal Health
	14) Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries*
	Number of mothers giving birth at:
	A1. RHU and BHS →
	A2. District/Municipal/ Component City Hospital (provincial, municipal or city- managed) →
	B1. Home →
	B2. Other places* → ry
	Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries
	A. Total number of facility-based deliveries (A1+A2) \rightarrow B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LGU (A1+A2+B1+B2) \rightarrow
	Notes: • Formula: A/B x 100 • Must be in a health facility with birthing capacity* • "Other places" is defined as non-health facility places like vehicles (tricycle, taxi, etc.) and outside of the dwelling place

 Reference: Local civil registry as reported in FHSIS NOH Target 2016: 90 % Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%
Remarks:
15) Percentage of Deliveries Attended by Skilled Health Professionals*
A. Total number of deliveries attended by skilled health professionals -> B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LGU (same as indicator # 14 denominator) ->
Notes: • Formula: A/B x 100 • *Skilled health professionals · are medical doctors, nurses and midwives • Reference: FHSIS • NOH Target 2016: 90 % • Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%
Remarks:
A. No. of women of reproductive age who are using (or whose partner is using) modern family planning method \rightarrow B. No. of women of reproductive age (12.3% of total population) \rightarrow x 100 = 27.6 % No. of women of reproductive age (12.3% of total population)
Notes: • Formula: A/B x 100 • Modern family planning methods*: pills, IUD, condom, injectables, NFP(cervical mucus, basal body temperature, symptothermal, standard days method/cycle beads, lactation amenorrhea method), bilateral tubal ligation, and vasectomy. • NOH Target 2016: 65% • Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%
Remarks:

17)	Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams
	A. No. of functional Community Health Teams in the LGU* →
	B. No. of targeted Community Health Teams in CCT NHTS -
	 Notes: Formula: A/B x 100 *Please refer to the attached Consolidation Template (see page 12) Conditional Cash Transfer National Household Targeting System for Poverty Reduction (CCT NHTS - PR) NOH Target 2016. 100% Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%
	Remarks:
E. En	vironmental Health
18)	Percentage of Households with Access to Safe Water
	A Total no. of households with access to safe water (Level I, II, III) → No B Total no. of households → Data
	Notes:
	 Formula: A/B x 100 Reference: FHSIS NOH Target 2016: 88% Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100%
	Remarks:
	Remarks.

Motes: Formula: A/B x 100 Reference: FHSIS NOH Target 2016: 90% Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100% Remarks:	sanitary	, of households with toilet facilities →		x 100 =	% No
 Formula: A/B x 100 Reference: FHSIS NOH Target 2016: 90% Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100% 	b. fotat no	Of HOUSEHOUS 'X	2.5 0	i	
 Reference: FHSIS NOH Target 2016: 90% Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100% 	Notes:				
 NOH Target 2016: 90% Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100% 	 Formula 	: A/B x 100			
 Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100% 					
				II - ALANA ALANA	
Remarks:	 Accepta 	ble computed value:	between 20% and	1 100%	
Kemarks:					
	A 1				
	Remarks:	and the state of t			
	Remarks:				
	Remarks:				

Certification Page (for Component City & Municipality)

Rart I. On Accomplishing the DCF (for City/MC & Financial Officers)	ітстраї неакт Ојуксегѕ
	Accomplished:
This is to certify that the data provide	ed in the LGU Scorecard Data Capture
Form are final and correct to the best of our kn	nowledge. We understand that the data
we provided in the LGU Scorecard Data Captu	re Form will be the basis in producing
the LGU Report Card on Health.	
SIGNED:	
Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Indica	ate your office & position opposite your
name.	
Name	Office & Position
DR. JON MATE L. NUENAY	MHO - MUNICIPAL HEALTH DIFFICER
INGRID R. ARCHINUE	MHO - NURSE IT
Part II. On Review of DCF (For the PHO and Ch	Myso- municiply publat (
This is to certify that the undersigned ha	ave reviewed the data provided in this
LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Remarks :	
	- 1 Co
SIGNED:	
Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Ind name.	licate your office & position opposite your
Name	Office & Position
ELLZAGETH N. EMPADO, MD. MPH	РНО, РНО II

DCF for Component Cities and Municipalities - Page 11 of 12

MAN

Consolidation Template for Indicator No. 18 Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams

Profile Year: (2012	Region: (Caraga Region)	
Province Name: (/ Rusan Del Norte	LGU Name: L KITCHANAO	

Put a (/) check mark across each criteria on the functionality of a Community Health Team (CHT) that is met by each CHT in the LGU.

Instruction:

Count the total number of functional CHTs (those which have met all the criteria listed below) and supply it as numerator of Indicator No. 18 "Percentage o functional community Health Teams.

4-b Heath Use Plan	4 Submitted the following reports 4-a Health Risk Assessment Report	3 Visited the CCT- NHTS families at least twice in the reporting year	2 Deployed in CCT- NHTS areas in the LGU	1 Organized and trained	Creteri of a Funtional community Health Team
3	<	•	ne"		(ext.134) 01.1
Ç	ć	<_	Ç	<	Off 2
۳	Ç,	ζ.	<.	Ç	
			S _i		Off 4 (example)
		<	5		(example)
			45		(example
10		r.			(example
Ü		.65		10	(Aximple)
ř	8	0.5			(agamake)
=:	le*	208	47	-2	(structor)
		Gr			(axample)
	97				(xample)
- L				3.	(sample)
					(ejdunos)
	52	ā		5.	(Hamingle)
	-	3	۵		(ezample)
a		4	3-		(example)
		I	1		_

Total No. of functional CHTS	No. of fully- functional CHTs	4-d Birth Plan and referral to health facilities	4-c Report of a follow-up of ider tified pregnant women (at least 2 follow-up)
7	7	ζ.	
		7	4)
		*	
		<	ν.,
		4	4
		4	#1.
		<i>(</i> *)	47
		4	e.
		7	
			-
		4.	
		00	
		T.	a, v
			W _e
	11.	С	
		g.	ζ.
		5	۲,