LGU Scorecard on Health - DCF For Component Cities and Municipalities

LGU Name: (Bacuag) **Province Name:** (Surigao del Norte)

Region: (CARAGA) **Profile Year:** **2012**

Population data to be used: **2010 Census Data Projected to 2012**

The LGU Scorecard on Health is an annual survey being conducted by the Department of Health for purpose of identifying reform interventions on health services provided by the LGUs. The indicators are stratified based on the strategic thrusts and strategic instruments of Universal Health Care (Kalusugang Pangkalahatan).

Instructions:

- Please fill-up the form by putting the required data for numerator, denominator and computed value.
- Encircle "Not Applicable" if the indicator is not applicable to the component city or municipality, or "No Data" if applicable but data is not available.
- Refer to the given formula per indicator to derive the computed value.
- Fill-up the remarks portion of each indicator when the computed value exceeds the acceptable value.
- Only the computed value will be inputted on the online DCF, however, numerator and denominator data still needs to be supplied in this manual DCF to facilitate data review.

I. Financial Risk Protection

Governance for Health

A. Increase LGU Investment for Health

1) Percentage of Component City/Municipal Budget Allocated to Health

A. Total component city/ municipal budget allocated to health, nutrition & environment	₱ 3,798,444.80	x 100 =	9.78 %	No Data
B. Total component city/municipal budget →	₱ 38,835,490			

Note:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- Component city/municipal budget - PS, MOOE, and CO
- *Target 2016: 15%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 50%*

Remarks: _____

2) Percentage of MOOE Allocated to Health

A. Total MOOE in component city/ municipal health budget →	₱ 732,750	x 100 =	22.78%	No Data
B. Component city/municipal budget allocated to health services →	₱ 3,216,162			

Note:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *MOOE for health from the regular funds (IRA + locally-generated sources)*
- *Target 2016: 45%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 80%*

Remarks: _____

3) Percentage of Component City/Municipal Health Expenditures

A. Total component city/municipal expenditures on health, nutrition & environment →	3,321,725.20	x 100 =	87.45%	No Data
B. Total component city/municipal budget allocated to health, nutrition & environment →	3,798,444.80			

Note:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Target 2016: 100%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 75% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

II. Improved Access to Quality Hospitals and Health Care Facilities

Human Resources for Health

Adequate Human Resource for Health

4) Rural Health Physician to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	13,421 (13,627)	=	1 Rural Health Physician : (13,627) 13,421 Population	No Data	No Rural Health Physician
B. No. of rural health physician →	1				

Note:

- *Formula: A/B*
- *Target 2016: 1 rural health physician:20,000 population*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health physician: 1,000 population and 1 rural health physician: 75,000 population*

Remarks: _____

5) Rural Health Midwife to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	13,421 (13,627)	=	1 Rural Health Midwife : (1,703) 1,678 Population	No Data	No Rural Health Midwife
B. No. of rural health midwife →	8				

Note:

- *Formula: A/B*
- *Target 2016: 1 rural health midwife : 5,000 population*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health midwife: 500 population and 1 rural health midwife: 75,000 population*

Remarks: _____

6) Rural Health Nurse to Population Ratio

A. Total population →	13,421 (13,627)	=	1 Rural Health Nurse : (13,627) 13,421 Population	No Data	No Rural Health Nurse
B. No. of rural health nurse →	1				

Note:

- *Formula: A/B*
- *Target 2016: 1 rural health nurse : 20,000 population*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 1 rural health nurse: 1,000 population and 1 rural health nurse: 75,000 population*

Remarks: _____

III. Attainment of Health-Related MDGs

Policy, Standards and Regulations

A. Disease Free Zone Initiatives

7) Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for Filariasis

A. No. of persons given mass drug administration →	10,797	x 100 =	83.4 %	No Data	Not Applicable (Non-endemic)
B. Total population (2 years old & above) in *endemic area →	12,946				

12,750 85

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Endemic area* is a province with a prevalence of 1% or higher.* ***All municipalities or component cities under the endemic province*** *shall report mass treatment.*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016: 85%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

8.) Percentage Coverage of Target Population in Endemic Area(s) with Mass Treatment for Schistosomiasis

A. No. of persons given mass treatment →	737	x 100 =	24 %	No Data	Not Applicable (Non-endemic)
B. Total population (5 years old and above) in *endemic area →	~~11,583~~ ~~4,676~~ 3,067				

3,608

total schisto + given tx / 83

Payapay	~~1424~~	1380
Pongtud	~~484~~	459
Cambungan	~~733~~	696
Pantao	~~859~~	1073
Campo		~~1843~~
	~~4,121~~	5507 3,608

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Endemic area is a barangay, component city or municipality with a prevalence of 10% or higher.*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016: 85%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 40% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

9.) Annual Parasite Incidence for Malaria

A. No. of Malaria cases in the population → [0]

B. Total population in *endemic area → [ ]

x 1000 = [0 Per 1000 population] [No Data] [~~Not Applicable (Non-endemic)~~]

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 1000*
- *Endemic area may be a barangay, municipality or component city. Thus, the population of the implicated barangay, municipality or component city should be used as denominator.*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016: < 0.8/1000*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 0 and 20 per 1,000 population*

Remarks: _____

B. Intensified Disease Prevention and Control

39 adults
6 children

10.) TB Case Detection Rate (All Forms of TB*)

A. No. of all forms of TB cases identified → 39 [45]

B. Estimated no. of all forms of TB cases for the year* → 37 [37]

x 100 = [122 %] [No Data]

106

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *"Al Forms of TB" refers to new smear positive, new smear negative, relapse, and extra pulmonary TB*

- *To compute for "Estimated number of all forms of TB cases for the year": Total population x 0.00275*
- *Reference: Quarterly Reports; NTP Registry*
- *NOH Target 2016: 85%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

3rd Q 2011 - 2nd Q 2012

11.) TB Cure Rate

A. No. of new smear-positive pulmonary TB cases registered in a specified period that were cured →	(14)	x 100 =	~~[illegible]~~%	No Data
B. Total no. of new smear-positive pulmonary TB cases registered in the same period→	(16)		(88)	

100

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Reference: Quarterly Reports; NTP Registry*
- *NOH Target 2016: 90%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

C. Child Health

12) Percentage of Fully Immunized Child

A. No. of infants given BCG, OPV3, DPT3/Penta 3, Hep B3 and Measles vaccine before 12 months of age →	293	x 100 =	79.6 ~~[illegible]~~ %	No Data
B. Total population x 2.7% →	(368)			

362 81%

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *NOH Target 2016: 95%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 30% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

13) Percentage of Infants (0 - 6 months old) Exclusively Breastfed

A. No. of infants (0 - 6 months old) exclusively breastfed →	113				
B. Total population x 2.7% →	(368) 362	x 100 =	(30.7 %) 31 %	No Data	

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *NOH Target 2016: 54.75%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

D. Maternal Health

14) Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries*

Number of mothers giving birth at:

A1. RHU and BHS →	(111) 82
A2. District/Municipal/ Component City Hospital (provincial, municipal or city-managed) →	(103) 131
B1. Home →	(3) 4
B2. Other places* →	0

Percentage of Facility-based Deliveries

A. Total number of facility-based deliveries (A1+A2) → 213	(214)	x 100 =	(98.6) ~~[illegible]~~ %	No Data	
B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LGU (A1+A2+B1+B2) →	217		98 %		

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Must be in a health facility with birthing capacity**
- *"Other places" is defined as non-health facility places like vehicles (tricycle, taxi, etc.) and outside of the dwelling place*

- *Reference: Local civil registry as reported in FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016:* 90 %
- *Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

15) Percentage of Deliveries Attended by Skilled Health Professionals*

A. Total number of deliveries attended by skilled health professionals → 215	(214)	x 100 =	98.6 ~~73~~ %	No Data
B. Total number of maternal deliveries in the LGU *(same as indicator # 14 denominator)* →	217			

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- **Skilled health professionals - are medical doctors, nurses and midwives*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016:* 90 %
- *Acceptable computed value: between 5% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

16) Contraceptive Prevalence Rate for Modern Family Planning Methods*

A. No. of women of reproductive age who are using (or whose partner is using) modern family planning method →	856	x 100 =	(51) %	No Data
B. No. of women of reproductive age *(12.3% of total population)* →	(1,676) 1,654		52.%	

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Modern family planning methods*: pills, IUD, condom, injectables, NFP(cervical mucus, basal body temperature, symptothermal, standard days method/cycle beads, lactation amenorrhea method) , bilateral tubal ligation, and vasectomy.*
- *NOH Target 2016: 65%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

17) Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams

A. No. of functional Community Health Teams in the LGU* →	16				
B. No. of targeted Community Health Teams in CCT NHTS - PR* areas in the LGU →	16	x 100 =	100 %	No Data

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- **Please refer to the attached Consolidation Template (see page 12)*
- *Conditional Cash Transfer National Household Targeting System for Poverty Reduction (CCT NHTS - PR)*
- *NOH Target 2016: 100%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 0% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

E. Environmental Health

18) Percentage of Households with Access to Safe Water

A. Total no. of households with access to safe water (Level I, II, III) →	2,788				
B. Total no. of households →	2,788	x 100 =	100 %	No Data

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016: 88%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

19) Percentage of Households with Sanitary Toilet Facilities

A. Total no. of households with sanitary toilet facilities →	2,631	x 100 =	94.3 %	No Data
B. Total no. of households →	2,788			

Notes:

- *Formula: A/B x 100*
- *Reference: FHSIS*
- *NOH Target 2016: 90%*
- *Acceptable computed value: between 20% and 100%*

Remarks: _____

Certification Page

(for Component City & Municipality)

Part I. On Accomplishing the DCF (for City/Municipal Health Officers & Financial Officers)

LGU Name: RHU-BACUAG **Date Accomplished:** March, 2013

This is to certify that the data provided in the LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form are final and correct to the best of our knowledge. We understand that the data we provided in the LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form will be the basis in producing the LGU Report Card on Health.

SIGNED:

Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Indicate your office & position opposite your name.

Name	Office & Position
Ma. Carmen O. Tantoy	MTO

Part II. On Review of DCF (For the PHO and CHD-PHTL)

This is to certify that the undersigned have reviewed the data provided in this LGU Scorecard Data Capture Form.

Remarks : _____

SIGNED:

Note: Affix your signature above printed name. Indicate your office & position opposite your name.

Name	Office & Position
EDITHA E. APE	PHO - MIDWIFE IV

Consolidation Template for Indicator No.17
Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams

LGU Name: (_____) **Province Name: (_____)**

Region: (_____) **Profile Year: 2012**

Instructions:

1. Put a (✓) check mark across each criteria on the functionality of a Community Health Team (CHT) that is met by each CHT in the LGU.
2. Count the total number of functional CHTs (those which have met all the criteria listed below) and supply it as numerator of Indicator No. 17 "Percentage of Functional Community Health Teams".

Criteria of a Functional Community Health Team	CHT 1 (example)	CHT 2 (example)	CHT 3 (example)	CHT 4 (example)	CHT 5 (example)	...
1. Organized and trained	✓	✓	✓	✓		
2. Deployed in CCT-NHTS areas in the LGU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3. Visited the CCT-NHTS families at least twice in the reporting year	✓		✓	✓	✓	
4. Submitted the following reports:						
4.A Health Risk Assessment Report	✓		✓	✓	✓	
4.B Health Use Plan	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4.C Report of a follow-up of identified pregnant women (at least 2 follow-ups)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4.D Birth Plan and referral to health facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
No. of Fully-functional CHTs	1	0	1	1	0	
Total No. of Functional CHTs	**3**					